

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,551		10/24/2003	Dae-Sung Han	1594.1290	7503
21171	7590	04/25/2006		EXAMINER	
	& HALS	EY LLP	BASICHAS, ALFRED		
SUITE 70 1201 NEV		AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		OC 20005		3749	
				DATE MAILED: 04/25/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			g					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/691,551	HAN ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Alfred Basichas	3749						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	s					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	<u> March 2006</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8,9 and 18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10-17</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	n received in this National Stag	је					
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5 filings</u>. 	(08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	• •	,					

Application/Control Number: 10/691,551 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I – claims 1-7 and 10-17 in the reply filed on March 3, 2006, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that a reference has not been cited to show the necessity of the restriction and that it would not be a burden to examine all the claims. This is not found persuasive because providing a reference to establish the necessity of a restriction is not required, nor relevant to the issue at hand. In addition, contrary to applicant's belief, examination of all the claims would constitute a burden on the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,551

Art Unit: 3749

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

5. Claims 1-7 and 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant submitted Japanese Abstracts of Nishina (2000-166771), Nishina (2000-254007), Nishina (2001-120440), or Kondo (JP11-267032). Nishina and Kondo discloses substantially all of the claimed limitations, such as a cabinet 1/1/2/11,12, a grill mounted on the top thereof 4/3/6/7, covers (in the form of caps) respectively over each of the water tanks 2/2/2/2,3 to selectively open and close each of the water tanks, a plurality of metallic grill pipes 4/3/6/7 (if not specifically recited, inherently metallic as required to provide for heat transfer and suitable conduit), wherein each of the grill pipes has a horizontally extended part on which food is placed that is bent to be positioned lower than both ends of the grill pipes connected to the water tanks to position the food near the at least one heater arranged below the food, and water tanks attached thereto (see figures, it should be noted that at any bend there is a small portion that is horizontal). The grill pipes of the aforementioned references further disclose a wherein each end of each of the grill pipes comprises a laterally extended part with a

Application/Control Number: 10/691,551

Art Unit: 3749

predetermined length, an upwardly extended part upwardly bent and extended from the laterally extended part and opened at a top thereof to interface with an inside of a corresponding one of the water tanks, wherein each end of each of the grill pipes has an inclined part downwardly bent at a predetermined angle and extended from a respective laterally extended part, the horizontally extended part of each grill pipe extending between respective inclined parts to position the horizontally extended part lower than the water tanks, wherein each end of each of the grill pipes has an inclined part downwardly bent at a predetermined angle and extended from a respective laterally extended part, the horizontally extended part of each grill pipe extending between respective inclined parts to position the horizontally extended part lower than the water tanks, and wherein the water supplied into the grill pipes from the water tanks prevents the grill pipes from overheating when heat is applied to the grill pipes, thereby preventing food placed on the grill pipes from burning. Nevertheless, Nishina and Kondo do not specifically recite the water tanks being made of resin material, each of the water tanks with a lower portion being thicker than the side portion, or the transparent water level window or pipe.

Page 4

- a. As regards the resin limitation of claim 1 and 10,
 - i. Official Notice is given that the use of resin as a material for water containers is old and well known in the art. Such an arrangement has the clear and obvious benefit of providing for a water tight container that avoids leaking. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the resin

Application/Control Number: 10/691,551

Art Unit: 3749

material for the water tanks into the invention disclosed by Nishina and Kondo, so as to provide for watertight containers.

Page 5

- ii. In addition, the particular material used is simply a matter dependent on availability and cost. This material is well within the knowledge and ability of one of ordinary skill in the art. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the claimed material into the invention disclosed by Nishina and Kondo, so as to satisfy considerations of availability and cost.
- b. As regards the thicker lower portion of claims 3 and 12, it is well settled in the most basic of engineering principles that an increase thickness of most any material will increase the strength and rigidity of the structure. The decision to increase the thickness of a structure so as to provide greater strength is most often weight against increased cost of materials. A fine balance must be maintained by most manufacturers to maximize the strength and durability of structure with the cost thereof. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the claimed relative thickness into the invention disclosed by Nishina and Kondo, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable values or ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233; *In re Swain*, 156 F.2d 239.

Art Unit: 3749

c. As regards the transparent window and pipe of claims 6, 7, and 15, Official Notice is given that the use of transparent windows and pipes with water containers is old and well known in the art. Such an arrangement has the clear and obvious benefit of providing for indication of the water level inside the container. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate transparent windows and pipes into the invention disclosed by Nishina or Kondo, so as to provide for indication of water or fluid level.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 571 272 4871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571 272 4828. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center telephone number is 571 272 3700.

April 20, 2006

West Basichas